

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/733,611	12/11/2003	Edgardo Anzures	51819	8541	
7590 07/28/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. Box 9169		LEE, SIN J			
Boston, MA (02209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			1752		

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A U A		_/1
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>, ,</i> .
Office Action Summary		10/733,611	ANZURES ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAU INC DATE of this communication and	Sin J. Lee	1752	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	oears on the cover sheet with the	corresponaence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	1ay 2005.		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-10 and 13-20 is/are pending if 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-10 and 13-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers		·	
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
44\□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TORM PTO-152.	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
Attachmen		<u>_</u>		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/733,611 Page 2

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants canceled claims 2, 7, 11, and 12.

2. In view of the amendment of May 16, 2005, previous 102(b) rejection on claims 1 and 5-17 is hereby withdrawn. Scheve et al'643 does not teach or suggest the use of present diisocyanates, triisocyanates, or polyisocyanates.

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Application/Control Number: 10/733,611

Art Unit: 1752

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 3-6, 9, 10 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barr et al (US 2003/0162123 A1)

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

In Example 2, Barr forms a polymer of 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate (17.6 wt% as calculated by the Examiner), methacrylic acid (15.6 wt.%), and methyl methacrylate (66.8 wt.%), which is functionalized with isophorone diisocyanate. Barr also states that at least one of the functionalized pendent groups comprises a terminal α or β ethylenically or acetylenically unsaturated group (see claim 1). Therefore, the prior art teaches present inventions of claims 1, 3-6 and 18.

Barr also teaches (see [0055]-[0056]) that his photoimageable composition which contains his polymer can contain photoinitiator as well as crosslinking agents. Thus, the prior art teaches present inventions of claims 9, 10, 13, and 19.

In [0060], Barr forms his photoimageable composition onto a copper substrate.

Once applied, the photoimageable composition is exposed to actinic radiation, and the exposed composition is then developed to remove the unexposed portions. After

Art Unit: 1752

development, an etchant can be used to remove metal from areas where the photoresist is removed thereby forming a printed circuit. The remaining photoresist is then removed using a stripper. Therefore, Barr teaches present inventions of claims 14-17 and 20.

Page 4

6. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-10 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barr et al (US 2004/0063027 A1)

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

In Example 2, Barr forms a polymer of 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate (17.6 wt% as calculated by the Examiner), methacrylic acid (15.6 wt.%), and methyl methacrylate (66.8 wt.%), which is functionalized with isophorone diisocyanate. Barr also states that pendent functional groups of his polymer can terminate in one or more α or β ethylenically or acetylenically unsaturated functional groups (see [0020] and [0051]). Therefore, the prior art teaches present inventions of claims 1, 3-6 and 18. Also, in [0056]-[0057], Barr states the following:

Art Unit: 1752

[0056] Functional groups that are to be joined to the polymer backbone and have free reactive groups, such as isocyanate groups, may be reacted with compounds having α,β-ethylenically or acetylenically unsaturated groups to extend the functional pendent groups. Such compounds with unsaturated groups include, but are not limited to, a compound having a formula:

CH₂—CHR³—C(0)—O—(A₁)—(B₂)—(C₂)—H V

[0057] where R³ is hydrogen or methyl, (A₁), (B₁) and (C₁) are in any order, (A₂) is a chain formed of from 1 to 40 alkoxylate monomers, aromatic-substituted alkoxylate monomers having from 1 to 20 carbon atoms, or mixtures thereof, (B₁) is either absent or is a chain formed of from 1 to 40 alkoxylate monomers, or aromatic-substituted alkoxylate monomers having from 1 to 20 carbon atoms, or mixtures thereof, and the monomer composition of (B₁) being different than the monomer composition of (A₁), and (C₁) is a chain formed of from 1 to 40 open-ring lactons monomers having from 2 to 21 carbon atoms.

Therefore, the prior art teaches present invention of claim 8.

Barr's polymer also contains a group that generates a free radical upon exposure of the compound to actinic radiation (see [0012]). Barr also states ([0017]) that his composition can also contain plasticizers or surfactants. Therefore, the prior art teaches present inventions of claims 9, 10, 13, and 19.

In [0099], Barr forms his photoimageable composition onto a copper substrate.

Once applied, the photoimageable composition is exposed to actinic radiation, and the exposed composition is then developed to remove the unexposed portions. After development, an etchant can be used to remove metal from areas where the photoresist is removed thereby forming a printed circuit. The remaining photoresist is then removed using a stripper. Therefore, Barr teaches present inventions of claims 14-17 and 20.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sin J. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1333.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,611

Art Unit: 1752

Page 6

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am EST to 5:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly, can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S.J.L.

S. Lee July 25, 2005 SIN LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER